

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,305	03/19/2001	Roger Read	047763-5017	5146
9629 75	590 02/24/2004		EXAMI	NER
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			ROBINSON, BINTA M	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	, 22 =		1625	14
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	, //)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
u ·•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/673,305	READ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Binta M. Robinson	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,12,15,25 and 50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 7-11,13,14,16,17,22,23,26-29,35,37-40,42-45 and 47-49 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/673,305

Art Unit: 1625

Detailed Action

(modified rejection)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 12, 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A. In claim 1 and all occurrences throughout the claims, the phrase "substituent can be substituted or unstubstited, straight chain or branched chain, and hydrophobic, hydrophilor or fluorophilic" is indefinite. A substituent can not be optionally hydrophobic or hydrophilic. If a substituent is hydrophobic it is not hydrophilic, unless a portion of the substituent is hydrophobic and another portion of the substituent is hydrophobic. It is unclear as to what the applicant means by this phrase. The terms "hydrophobic" and "hydrophilic" or "fluorophilic" are functional language. The moieties being depicted are not known from this language. The terms "hydrophobic" and "hydrophilic" or "fluorophilic" do not depict a definitive set of chemical structures but chemical reagants. For example, what does "flourophilic" really mean? Does it mean that an fluorine atim comes to a compound as a nucleophile or is the atom displayed in the compound as part of a final product, replacing another fluorine atom in the compound.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/673,305

Art Unit: 1625

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cueto. (See Reference U).

Cueto et. al. teaches the instant compound as shown in Formula 3, where X equals H. At page 279, see formula 3 where X is H. The difference between the prior art compound and the instantly claimed compound is the teaching of the of formula 3 and the compound of formula 5 which is a reactant in the synthesis of compound of formula 3. If a compound of formula 3 where X is H is exists, then it is obvious that a reactant compound of formula 5 where both X and Y are bromine exists in order to synthesize the final product of formula 3 where X is H. Accordingly, the compounds are deemed unpatentable therefrom in the absence of a showing of unexpected results for the claimed compounds over those of the generic prior art compounds.

The claims 7-11, 13-14, 16-17, 22-23, 26-29, 35, 37-40, 42-45, 47-49 are objected to because they are based on a rejected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/673,305

Art Unit: 1625

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson

February 21, 2004

CEILA CHANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER Ation 5 P Z-

GROUP 1200 LL